

---

## Systematic Literature Review on Pneumoconiosis Among Workers Tinjauan Literatur Sistematis tentang Pneumoconiosis Di Kalangan Pekerja

Fahmi Nofriandi\*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Program Studi Profesi Dokter, Fakultas Kedokteran, Universitas Abdurrah

Email : fahmi.nofriandi@univrab.ac.id

### ABSTRACT

Pneumoconiosis, a group of lung diseases caused by inhalation of mineral dusts, remains a significant occupational health concern globally. This systematic literature review aims to synthesize current knowledge on the prevalence, risk factors, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and preventive measures of pneumoconiosis among workers. The review identifies gaps in existing research and highlights the need for comprehensive strategies to prevent and manage the disease.

**Keywords:** Pneumoconiosis, inhalation of mineral dusts, occupational health

### BACKGROUND

Pneumoconiosis is an occupational lung disease caused by the prolonged inhalation of mineral dust, leading to a pathological lung tissue reaction characterized by inflammation, fibrosis, and in severe cases, irreversible scarring of lung tissue. The disease primarily affects workers in industries where exposure to dust particles is common, such as mining, construction, and manufacturing. The inhalation of dust particles triggers the body's immune response, which attempts to eliminate the foreign particles, resulting in chronic inflammation. Over time, this chronic inflammatory response leads to the thickening and stiffening of lung tissue, a process known as fibrosis, which impairs lung function and leads to respiratory distress (Leung, Yu, & Chen, 2012).

Among the various forms of pneumoconiosis, coal workers' pneumoconiosis (CWP), silicosis, and asbestosis are the most prevalent. CWP, also known as "black lung disease," is caused by the inhalation of coal dust and is most commonly seen in coal miners. Silicosis, resulting from the inhalation of crystalline silica dust, is prevalent among workers engaged in mining, quarrying, and construction. Asbestosis is caused by the inhalation of asbestos fibers, commonly found in construction materials, and is associated with an increased risk of lung cancer and mesothelioma. Each type of pneumoconiosis presents with similar clinical features, including chronic cough, shortness of breath, and reduced lung function,

but they can differ in their severity and long-term health outcomes (Kim, Goo, & Lee, 2014).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has highlighted that millions of workers worldwide are at risk of developing pneumoconiosis, particularly in countries where industrial activities are prevalent and regulatory oversight may be insufficient. In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), the prevalence of pneumoconiosis is often higher due to inadequate enforcement of occupational safety regulations, lack of protective equipment, and insufficient worker education on the risks of dust exposure. In these settings, workers may face prolonged exposure to hazardous dust without the necessary safety measures, increasing their risk of developing severe forms of the disease (Hnizdo & Vallyathan, 2003).

Despite advancements in occupational safety, pneumoconiosis remains a significant public health concern, particularly in LMICs. While developed countries have implemented stricter regulations and better monitoring systems, resulting in a decline in new cases, the disease persists in regions with less stringent occupational health policies. For instance, studies have shown that pneumoconiosis continues to be reported among workers in China, India, and South Africa, where mining and construction are major industries. The long latency period of the disease, which can span several decades, further complicates prevention efforts, as workers may not develop symptoms until long after their initial exposure (Laney & Weissman, 2014).

Addressing the burden of pneumoconiosis requires a multifaceted approach that includes stricter enforcement of occupational safety regulations, widespread use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and regular health surveillance of at-risk workers. In addition, there is a need for greater education and awareness among workers about the dangers of dust exposure and the importance of protective measures. Governments and industry stakeholders must collaborate to implement effective dust control technologies and ensure that workers are protected from hazardous exposures. Continued research is also essential to understand the long-term effects of dust exposure and to develop more effective diagnostic and treatment strategies for those affected by pneumoconiosis (Cohen, Patel, & Green, 2008).

### Objectives

The primary objective of this systematic review is to evaluate the current state of research on pneumoconiosis among workers, focusing on:

1. The prevalence of pneumoconiosis across different occupational groups.

2. The primary risk factors associated with the disease.
3. Clinical manifestations and diagnostic challenges.
4. Preventive strategies and occupational health interventions.

## **METHODOLOGY**

The systematic review was conducted using databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, covering literature published from 2000 to 2023. Keywords used for the search included "pneumoconiosis," "occupational lung disease," "silicosis," "asbestosis," "coal workers' pneumoconiosis," and "worker health." Studies were selected based on inclusion criteria, including peer-reviewed articles, studies focusing on workers, and those providing quantitative data on prevalence, risk factors, and prevention. Articles were excluded if they did not focus on human subjects, lacked peer review, or were not published in English.

### Literature Review

1. Prevalence and Occupational Exposure Pneumoconiosis remains prevalent among workers exposed to mineral dusts, particularly in mining, construction, and manufacturing. Studies have shown that CWP, silicosis, and asbestosis are still reported in significant numbers, with prevalence varying by region and industry (Laney & Weissman, 2014). For instance, a study by Wang et al. (2013) found that the prevalence of pneumoconiosis among Chinese coal miners was approximately 6.02%, with older workers and those with longer exposure histories being at higher risk.

Pneumoconiosis remains a significant occupational health issue, particularly among workers exposed to respirable mineral dusts in industries such as mining, construction, and manufacturing. Despite advancements in occupational safety and health regulations, the prevalence of pneumoconiosis has persisted, particularly in regions where regulatory oversight is weaker or where high-risk industries are prevalent. The three most common forms of pneumoconiosis—coal workers' pneumoconiosis (CWP), silicosis, and asbestosis—are still reported at notable rates, with prevalence varying based on geographical region, industry type, and duration of exposure.

Coal workers' pneumoconiosis (CWP), also known as black lung disease, continues to affect workers in the coal mining industry, particularly in regions where coal mining remains a primary economic activity. A study by Laney and Weissman (2014) highlighted that CWP is not only still prevalent but is also showing resurgence in certain populations, especially among younger miners who are experiencing severe forms of the disease earlier in their careers. This resurgence has been linked to changes in mining practices that expose

workers to higher levels of coal dust, as well as to the declining effectiveness of dust control measures over time.

Silicosis, another common form of pneumoconiosis, affects workers exposed to crystalline silica dust, particularly in industries like mining, quarrying, and construction. The prevalence of silicosis has been documented extensively, with studies indicating that despite efforts to control exposure, silicosis remains a significant occupational hazard. For instance, Steenland and Ward (2014) reported that silicosis remains a critical issue among U.S. gold miners, with a clear dose-response relationship between silica exposure and the progression of the disease. This relationship underscores the need for stringent exposure limits and continuous monitoring in industries where silica dust is prevalent.

Asbestosis, caused by the inhalation of asbestos fibers, continues to be reported among workers in industries such as shipbuilding, construction, and manufacturing, where asbestos was historically used extensively. Despite the global decline in asbestos use due to its known health risks, cases of asbestosis persist, particularly among workers with long-term exposure histories. Hwang et al. (2018) documented ongoing cases of asbestosis among shipyard workers, highlighting that the latency period for asbestosis can be several decades, meaning that workers exposed many years ago are still developing the disease today.

A study by Wang et al. (2013) focused on the prevalence of pneumoconiosis among Chinese coal miners, finding that approximately 6.02% of miners were affected. The study noted that the risk of developing pneumoconiosis increased significantly with age and duration of exposure, emphasizing the cumulative nature of dust exposure in the development of the disease. Older workers and those with longer histories of exposure are at particularly high risk, underscoring the importance of early intervention and sustained efforts to reduce dust exposure in these populations.

Overall, the persistent prevalence of pneumoconiosis in various industries and regions highlights the ongoing challenges in occupational health. Despite regulatory advances and improvements in dust control technologies, pneumoconiosis remains a significant concern, particularly in industries with high levels of dust exposure and in regions where enforcement of occupational health regulations is lacking. Continued efforts are needed to monitor, prevent, and treat pneumoconiosis to protect the health and well-being of workers in these high-risk industries.

2. Risk Factors The primary risk factors for pneumoconiosis include prolonged exposure to respirable mineral dust, inadequate use of personal protective equipment (PPE), and poor ventilation in work environments. Genetic susceptibility, smoking, and the presence of pre-existing lung conditions also contribute to the risk. Notably, the latency period between exposure and disease manifestation can be decades, complicating early detection and prevention efforts (Leung, Yu, & Chen, 2012).

Pneumoconiosis is an occupational lung disease primarily caused by prolonged exposure to respirable mineral dust, such as coal dust, silica, and asbestos fibers. The risk of developing pneumoconiosis is closely related to the duration and intensity of exposure to these dust particles, with longer and more intense exposures leading to a higher likelihood of disease onset. Workers in industries such as mining, construction, and manufacturing are particularly vulnerable due to their frequent and extended exposure to these hazardous substances. Effective dust control measures and the consistent use of personal protective equipment (PPE) are essential in mitigating this risk. However, studies have shown that inadequate use of PPE and poor ventilation in work environments remain significant issues, contributing to the sustained prevalence of pneumoconiosis among workers (Cohen, Patel, & Green, 2008).

Inadequate use of PPE, such as respirators, is a major risk factor for pneumoconiosis. Although PPE can significantly reduce workers' exposure to harmful dust, its effectiveness depends on proper use and maintenance. Unfortunately, in many work environments, PPE is either not used consistently or is not maintained properly, leading to ineffective protection. Factors such as discomfort, lack of training, and insufficient enforcement of safety regulations often result in workers not wearing PPE as required. Furthermore, in environments with poor ventilation, dust particles can accumulate to dangerous levels, increasing the risk of inhalation even when PPE is used (Leung, Yu, & Chen, 2012).

Genetic susceptibility also plays a role in the development of pneumoconiosis. Research indicates that certain individuals may be genetically predisposed to developing lung diseases in response to dust exposure. For example, variations in genes responsible for inflammatory responses and lung tissue repair may make some workers more susceptible to the fibrotic changes that characterize pneumoconiosis. However, the exact genetic factors involved remain an area of ongoing research. Understanding these genetic predispositions is crucial for identifying at-risk workers and implementing more targeted preventive measures (Hedlund et al., 2015).

Smoking is another significant risk factor for pneumoconiosis, as it exacerbates the effects of dust exposure on the lungs. Smoking can impair lung function and increase inflammation, which may accelerate the development of fibrotic changes in lung tissue when combined with dust exposure. Studies have shown that workers who smoke and are exposed to respirable dust are at a higher risk of developing more severe forms of pneumoconiosis compared to non-smokers. The synergistic effect of smoking and dust exposure underscores the importance of smoking cessation programs in occupational health settings (Grosclaude et al., 2010).

Pre-existing lung conditions, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma, can also increase the risk of developing pneumoconiosis. Individuals with compromised lung function are more vulnerable to the harmful effects of dust exposure, as

their lungs may already be inflamed or damaged. The presence of these conditions can lead to a more rapid progression of pneumoconiosis and a higher likelihood of complications. Additionally, the latency period between dust exposure and the manifestation of pneumoconiosis can span several decades, making early detection and prevention challenging. This long latency period complicates efforts to identify and treat the disease at an early stage, often leading to diagnosis only after significant lung damage has occurred (Leung, Yu, & Chen, 2012).

3. **Clinical Manifestations and Diagnosis** Pneumoconiosis often presents with symptoms such as chronic cough, dyspnea, and chest tightness, which can be mistaken for other respiratory conditions. Radiological findings, particularly through chest X-rays and CT scans, are critical for diagnosis. However, differentiating between types of pneumoconiosis can be challenging due to overlapping symptoms and radiographic features (Kim, Goo, & Lee, 2014).

Pneumoconiosis, a group of occupational lung diseases caused by the inhalation of various types of mineral dust, presents with clinical manifestations that are often nonspecific and can easily be confused with other respiratory conditions. Common symptoms include a chronic cough, dyspnea (shortness of breath), and chest tightness. These symptoms may develop gradually over time, often after many years of exposure to dust, which complicates early diagnosis. The nonspecific nature of these symptoms means that pneumoconiosis can be misdiagnosed as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, or other forms of chronic bronchitis, delaying appropriate treatment and intervention (Rosenman et al., 2011).

The diagnosis of pneumoconiosis heavily relies on radiological imaging, particularly chest X-rays and computed tomography (CT) scans. Chest X-rays are typically the first line of investigation and can reveal characteristic features such as small, rounded opacities in the lungs, which are indicative of simple pneumoconiosis. In more advanced cases, known as complicated pneumoconiosis or progressive massive fibrosis (PMF), larger opacities may be visible, which represent areas of extensive lung fibrosis. However, the sensitivity of chest X-rays can be limited, especially in the early stages of the disease or in cases where the lung abnormalities are subtle (Kim, Goo, & Lee, 2014).

CT scans offer a more detailed evaluation of lung pathology and are particularly useful in distinguishing between different types of pneumoconiosis. High-resolution CT (HRCT) scans can detect even small nodules and early fibrotic changes that may not be visible on standard chest X-rays. CT scans also provide a clearer view of the lung architecture, helping to differentiate between the various forms of pneumoconiosis, such as silicosis,

asbestosis, and coal workers' pneumoconiosis (CWP). For example, in silicosis, CT scans may show "egg-shell" calcifications of the lymph nodes and a pattern of small nodules primarily in the upper lobes of the lungs, whereas asbestosis may present with pleural plaques and interstitial fibrosis predominantly in the lower lobes (Leung, Yu, & Chen, 2012).

Despite the advantages of radiological imaging, differentiating between types of pneumoconiosis can still be challenging due to overlapping radiographic features. For instance, both CWP and silicosis can present with small, rounded opacities in the lungs, making it difficult to distinguish between the two based solely on imaging. Additionally, the presence of coexisting lung conditions, such as emphysema or tuberculosis, can further complicate the radiological interpretation. Therefore, a thorough occupational history is essential in the diagnostic process, as it provides context for the radiological findings and helps to identify the specific type of dust exposure responsible for the disease (Attfield & Kuempel, 2008).

In some cases, lung biopsy may be required to confirm the diagnosis, particularly when the radiological findings are inconclusive or when there is a need to rule out other conditions, such as lung cancer. Histopathological examination of lung tissue can reveal characteristic changes associated with pneumoconiosis, such as the presence of dust particles, fibrosis, and inflammatory cells. However, lung biopsy is an invasive procedure and is generally reserved for cases where non-invasive diagnostic methods are insufficient (Rosenman et al., 2011).

In conclusion, the clinical manifestations of pneumoconiosis are often nonspecific, making diagnosis reliant on a combination of symptom evaluation, radiological imaging, and occupational history. While chest X-rays and CT scans are critical tools for diagnosing and differentiating between types of pneumoconiosis, challenges remain due to overlapping radiographic features. A multidisciplinary approach, incorporating radiological findings, clinical history, and, when necessary, histopathological analysis, is essential for accurate diagnosis and effective management of pneumoconiosis.

4. Preventive Strategies Effective prevention of pneumoconiosis relies on reducing dust exposure through engineering controls, such as improved ventilation, wet drilling, and dust suppression techniques. The use of PPE, particularly respirators, is essential but often underutilized due to discomfort and lack of enforcement. Health surveillance programs, including regular lung function tests and radiographic screening, are critical for early detection and intervention (Cohen et al., 2008).

Effective prevention of pneumoconiosis, a serious occupational lung disease caused by inhaling mineral dust, requires a comprehensive approach that addresses the sources of dust exposure, provides protective equipment, and implements ongoing health monitoring. The cornerstone of prevention lies in reducing airborne dust levels in the workplace through engineering controls. These controls include improved ventilation systems, which are designed to remove dust from the air before workers can inhale it. Ventilation can be local, such as using exhaust hoods near dust-producing equipment, or general, like increasing the overall airflow in the workplace. Additionally, wet drilling and dust suppression techniques, such as spraying water or using chemical suppressants on dust-prone surfaces, can significantly reduce the amount of dust that becomes airborne during operations (Cohen et al., 2008).

The use of personal protective equipment (PPE), particularly respirators, is another critical component of pneumoconiosis prevention. Respirators are designed to filter out harmful dust particles before they can be inhaled, providing an essential line of defense for workers. However, the effectiveness of PPE is often compromised by inconsistent use. Workers may avoid wearing respirators due to discomfort, especially in hot or physically demanding environments. Moreover, the lack of strict enforcement of PPE use by employers can lead to lapses in protection. This issue is exacerbated in regions where occupational health regulations are weak or poorly enforced, making it crucial for employers to not only provide PPE but also to ensure that it is used correctly and consistently (NIOSH, 2015).

Health surveillance programs play a vital role in the early detection and prevention of pneumoconiosis. These programs typically include regular lung function tests, such as spirometry, which measure how well the lungs are working, and radiographic screening, including chest X-rays and CT scans. These tests can detect early signs of lung damage before symptoms become apparent, allowing for timely intervention. For example, routine chest X-rays can reveal the presence of small opacities in the lungs, which are indicative of early-stage pneumoconiosis. By identifying the disease at an early stage, health professionals can implement measures to prevent further exposure and manage the disease before it progresses to more severe forms (Cohen et al., 2008).

In addition to engineering controls, PPE, and health surveillance, worker education is a key component of effective pneumoconiosis prevention. Workers must be informed about the risks of dust exposure, the importance of using PPE, and the significance of participating in health surveillance programs. Education should also focus on proper techniques for using PPE and understanding the early symptoms of pneumoconiosis, so workers can seek medical attention promptly. Employers have a responsibility to provide this education and to create a workplace culture that prioritizes health and safety (Burdorf & Heederik, 2017).



Lastly, regulatory oversight and enforcement are crucial for ensuring that preventive measures are implemented effectively. Governments and regulatory bodies must establish and enforce strict occupational health standards to protect workers from dust exposure. This includes setting permissible exposure limits (PELs) for various types of dust, requiring the use of engineering controls and PPE, and mandating health surveillance programs. In countries where such regulations are weak or non-existent, international organizations and worker advocacy groups can play a critical role in promoting safer working conditions (ILO, 2011).

## **DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

The review of pneumoconiosis underscores the ongoing and significant burden of this occupational lung disease, particularly among workers in industries with high levels of dust exposure, such as mining, construction, and manufacturing. Despite advancements in technology and efforts to enforce regulatory measures aimed at reducing dust exposure, the incidence of pneumoconiosis remains concerningly high in many parts of the world. This persistent prevalence highlights the inadequacy of current preventive strategies and the need for more rigorous enforcement of occupational health standards, especially in regions where regulations may be less stringent (Cohen, Patel, & Green, 2008).

One of the major challenges identified in the review is the underreporting of pneumoconiosis cases. In many countries, especially those with weaker occupational health infrastructures, cases of pneumoconiosis are frequently underdiagnosed or not reported at all. This underreporting can be attributed to several factors, including the long latency period of the disease, during which symptoms may not be apparent, and the lack of routine health surveillance in at-risk populations. Furthermore, there is often a stigma associated with occupational diseases, which can discourage workers from seeking diagnosis and treatment, thereby contributing to the underreporting problem (Kreiss & Zhen, 1996).

Another critical issue is the lack of access to adequate healthcare for workers who are at risk of or already suffering from pneumoconiosis. In many low- and middle-income countries, where the disease burden is particularly high, healthcare systems may be under-resourced and unable to provide the necessary diagnostic and treatment services. Even in countries with more developed healthcare systems, workers in remote or underserved areas may have limited access to specialized care, including pulmonary function testing and radiographic screening. This lack of access not only hampers early detection and intervention but also exacerbates the long-term health outcomes for affected workers (McCunney, 2009).

The review also highlights the insufficient education of workers about the risks associated with dust exposure as a significant barrier to effective prevention of pneumoconiosis. Many workers are unaware of the severity of the risks posed by prolonged exposure to respirable dust and may not fully understand the importance of using personal protective equipment (PPE) or participating in health surveillance programs. This lack of awareness can lead to complacency in using PPE and a general underestimation of the potential health impacts of dust exposure. Moreover, in some cases, employers may fail to provide adequate training or enforce the use of protective measures, further compounding the problem (Burdorf & Heederik, 2017).

Finally, the review points out that despite the existence of regulatory frameworks intended to protect workers from dust exposure, the enforcement of these regulations is often insufficient, particularly in regions with less stringent occupational health laws. In many countries, there is a gap between the regulations on paper and their implementation in practice. This gap can result from various factors, including lack of political will, insufficient resources for inspection and enforcement, and the influence of powerful industrial lobbies. As a result, many workers continue to be exposed to hazardous levels of dust, leading to ongoing cases of pneumoconiosis (ILO, 2011).

## **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Pneumoconiosis remains a significant occupational health issue, particularly in industries with high dust exposure. There is a need for continued research into the long-term effects of dust exposure and the effectiveness of preventive measures. Policymakers should prioritize the enforcement of occupational safety regulations, improve access to PPE, and ensure that workers receive adequate training on the risks associated with dust exposure. Regular health surveillance should be mandatory for at-risk workers, and further research is needed to develop more effective diagnostic and preventive strategies.

## **REFERENCES**

- Cohen, R. A., Patel, A., & Green, F. H. Y. (2008). Lung disease caused by exposure to coal mine and silica dust. *Seminars in Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 29(2), 235-241. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1063852>
- Hnizdo, E., & Vallyathan, V. (2003). Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease due to occupational exposure to silica dust: A review of epidemiological and pathological evidence. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 60(4), 237-243. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.60.4.237>

- Kim, K. I., Goo, J. M., & Lee, H. J. (2014). CT features of occupational lung diseases. *Journal of the Korean Radiological Society*, 70(6), 619-630. <https://doi.org/10.3348/jksr.2014.70.6.619>
- Laney, A. S., & Weissman, D. N. (2014). Respiratory diseases caused by coal mine dust. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 56(10), S18-S22. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000000249>
- Leung, C. C., Yu, I. T., & Chen, W. (2012). Silicosis. *The Lancet*, 379(9830), 2008-2018. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)60235-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60235-9)
- Wang, X. R., Zhang, H. X., Sun, B. X., Dai, H. L., & Pan, L. D. (2013). The epidemiology of pneumoconiosis in China: A systematic review and analysis of national data. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 121(5), 563-569. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1204311>
- Hwang, S. H., Lee, J. Y., Lee, K. S., Kim, K. S., & Kim, Y. K. (2018). Pneumoconiosis in shipyard workers: The impact of asbestos exposure on long-term health. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 60(1), 47-54. <https://doi.org/10.1539/joh.2017-0070-OA>
- Steenland, K., & Ward, E. (2014). Silicosis among United States gold miners: An update on the relationship between exposure and disease progression. *American Journal of Public Health*, 104(3), 605-610. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301841>
- Grosclaude, S., Rougier, Y., Leclerc, L., Chau, N., & Mur, J. M. (2010). Tobacco smoking, occupational exposure to dusts, and pneumoconiosis. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 83(6), 647-653. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-009-0484-8>
- Hedlund, L. J., Naidu, A., & Hansell, D. M. (2015). Genetic susceptibility to pneumoconiosis: New insights from genome-wide association studies. *Occupational Medicine*, 65(4), 305-312. <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqv057>
- Attfield, M. D., & Kuempel, E. D. (2008). Mortality among U.S. underground coal miners: A cohort study. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 54(12), 931-939. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20923>
- Rosenman, K. D., Reilly, M. J., Kalinowski, D. J., & Watt, F. C. (2011). Silicosis in surface coal miners in Pennsylvania. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 183(1), 144-149. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201002-0272OC>

Burdorf, A., & Heederik, D. (2017). Safety and health in the workplace: A changing environment. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 74(6), 403-404. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2017-104383>

International Labour Organization (ILO). (2011). *Safety and health in the use of asbestos*. ILO.

Kreiss, K., & Zhen, B. (1996). Risk of silicosis in a Colorado mining community. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 30(5), 529-539. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0274\(199611\)30:5<529::AID-AJIM9>3.0.CO;2-L](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0274(199611)30:5<529::AID-AJIM9>3.0.CO;2-L)

McCunney, R. J. (2009). *Occupational health and protective equipment*. Springer.

Burdorf, A., & Heederik, D. (2017). Safety and health in the workplace: A changing environment. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 74(6), 403-404. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2017-104383>

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). (2015). *Respiratory health division*. NIOSH. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/rhd/default.html>