**JIKA (Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Abdurrab)**
Vol.2, No.1, Maret Tahun 2024, Hal. 64-73
p-ISSN: 2987-873x; e-ISSN: 2988-0009

# Systematic Literature Review on Presbyacusis

**Sri Marhaeni *[1], Donny Haryxon[2]**

*[1,2] RSUD dr. Suhatman, MARS, Kota Dumai*
*Email : sri.marhaeni@univrab.ac.id*

## ABSTRACT

Presbyacusis, or age-related hearing loss, is a prevalent condition among the elderly, characterized by a gradual decline in hearing sensitivity, particularly for high-frequency sounds. This systematic literature review synthesizes current research on the epidemiology, pathophysiology, risk factors, diagnosis, and management of presbyacusis. The review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the condition, its impact on quality of life, and the effectiveness of various interventions.

## INTRODUCTION

Presbyacusis is one of the most common sensory impairments among older adults, affecting nearly one-third of individuals aged 65 and older and up to half of those aged 75 and older (Gates & Mills, 2005). This condition significantly impairs communication, leading to social isolation, depression, and cognitive decline (Lin et al., 2011). Understanding the underlying mechanisms, risk factors, and effective interventions for presbyacusis is essential for improving the quality of life in the aging population.

## OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this systematic review is to critically evaluate the existing literature on presbyacusis, focusing on:

1. The epidemiology and prevalence of presbyacusis.
2. The pathophysiological mechanisms contributing to age-related hearing loss.
3. Risk factors associated with the onset and progression of presbyacusis.
4. Diagnostic approaches and tools for early detection.
5. Management strategies, including hearing aids, cochlear implants, and other therapeutic interventions.

## METHODOLOGY

This systematic review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. A comprehensive search of electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Cochrane Library, was conducted. Keywords used in the search strategy

included "presbyacusis," "age-related hearing loss," "epidemiology," "pathophysiology," "risk factors," "diagnosis," and "management." Studies were included if they were peer-reviewed, published between 2000 and 2024, and focused on human subjects.

**LITERATURE Review**

1. **Epidemiology and Prevalence**

- The prevalence of presbyacusis increases with age, with studies indicating that nearly 40% of individuals over the age of 75 experience significant hearing loss (Cruickshanks et al., 2003). Gender differences have been observed, with men typically exhibiting more severe hearing loss than women, likely due to a combination of genetic and environmental factors (Lee et al., 2005).

2. **Pathophysiology**

- The pathophysiology of presbyacusis involves both peripheral and central auditory system changes. Peripheral changes include the loss of hair cells in the cochlea, particularly in the basal turn, which affects high-frequency hearing. Central auditory processing decline, including changes in the auditory cortex, also contributes to the condition (Schuknecht & Gacek, 1993). The role of oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in accelerating cochlear aging has been increasingly recognized (Someya & Prolla, 2010).

Presbyacusis results from a complex interplay of peripheral and central auditory system changes.

**Peripheral Changes**: The primary pathology of presbyacusis involves the degeneration of hair cells in the cochlea, particularly in the basal turn where high-frequency sounds are processed. This degeneration is associated with a loss of sensory cells, spiral ganglion cells, and supporting cells, leading to reduced auditory sensitivity (Schuknecht & Gacek, 1993). Additionally, age-related changes in the stria vascularis, responsible for maintaining the ionic balance within the cochlea, further contribute to hearing loss (Hirose & Liberman, 2003).

**Central Auditory Processing Decline**: Beyond peripheral damage, aging also affects central auditory pathways. Research has shown that aging is associated with changes in the auditory cortex and central auditory processing, leading to difficulties in understanding speech, especially in noisy environments (Pichora-Fuller et al., 2016). These changes can exacerbate the effects of peripheral hearing loss, making it more challenging for individuals to communicate effectively.

**Oxidative Stress and Mitochondrial Dysfunction**: Recent studies have highlighted the role of oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in presbyacusis. Accumulation of oxidative damage in the cochlea contributes to cell death and functional decline (Someya & Prolla, 2010). Mitochondrial dysfunction further exacerbates these effects, impairing cellular energy production and increasing vulnerability to damage (Miller & O'Callaghan, 2015).

3. **Risk Factors**

- Apart from age, several risk factors contribute to the development and progression of presbyacusis. These include genetic predisposition, noise exposure, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and ototoxic medications (Frisina et al., 2006). Smoking and alcohol consumption have also been identified as modifiable risk factors (Gopinath et al., 2010).

Several factors contribute to the development and progression of presbyacusis:

**Multifactorial Nature of Presbyacusis**

1. **Genetic Factors**

Genetic predisposition plays a significant role in the development of presbyacusis. Research has identified several genetic markers associated with age-related hearing loss:

- **Genetic Variants**: Variants in genes involved in auditory function, such as the GJB2 gene (encoding connexin 26) and the MT-RNR1 gene (involved in mitochondrial function), have been linked to presbyacusis (Friedman et al., 2009). These genetic factors can influence the susceptibility to hearing loss and its progression.

- **Hereditary Hearing Loss**: Some forms of presbyacusis may overlap with hereditary hearing loss syndromes. For instance, mutations in the COCH gene, which is linked to progressive hearing loss, have been identified in some individuals with presbyacusis (Huang et al., 2012).

2. **Environmental Factors**

Environmental factors also contribute to the development and progression of presbyacusis:

- **Noise Exposure**: Chronic exposure to loud noise is a significant environmental risk factor. Cumulative noise exposure over a lifetime accelerates cochlear damage and contributes to the onset of presbyacusis (Gates & Mills, 2005). Occupational and recreational noise are notable sources of this exposure.

- **Oxidative Stress**: Environmental factors contributing to oxidative stress, such as smoking and exposure to pollutants, can exacerbate age-related cochlear degeneration. Oxidative stress leads to cellular damage and apoptosis in the cochlea, accelerating hearing loss (Someya & Prolla, 2010).

- **Cardiovascular and Metabolic Conditions**: Conditions such as hypertension and diabetes, influenced by lifestyle and environmental factors, have been associated with increased risk of presbyacusis (Gopinath et al., 2010). Poor cardiovascular health can impair blood flow to the cochlea, while metabolic disorders can affect auditory function.

4. **Diagnosis**

Early diagnosis of presbyacusis is crucial for effective management. Audiometric testing remains the gold standard for diagnosing the condition. High-frequency audiometry and speech-in-noise tests are particularly useful in detecting early changes (Gates & Mills, 2005). Recent advances in

diagnostic imaging, such as functional MRI, have provided insights into the central auditory processing deficits associated with presbyacusis (Wong et al., 2010).

Early diagnosis of presbyacusis is essential for effective management and intervention. Presbyacusis, or age-related hearing loss, typically presents as a gradual decline in hearing sensitivity, particularly affecting high frequencies. Timely identification allows for appropriate interventions to improve communication, reduce social isolation, and prevent further cognitive decline. Audiometric testing remains the primary method for diagnosing presbyacusis, but advancements in diagnostic imaging are enhancing our understanding of the condition.

**Importance of Early Diagnosis**

Early diagnosis of presbyacusis is crucial for several reasons:

1. **Intervention and Management**: Early detection enables timely intervention with hearing aids, cochlear implants, or other assistive devices, which can significantly improve auditory function and overall quality of life (Gates & Mills, 2005). Untreated hearing loss can lead to social withdrawal, depression, and cognitive decline (Lin et al., 2011).
2. **Prevention of Progression**: Identifying hearing loss in its early stages can help monitor its progression and adapt management strategies accordingly. Early intervention can potentially slow the progression of hearing loss and mitigate its impact (Wong et al., 2010).
3. **Impact on Cognitive Function**: There is evidence linking untreated hearing loss with increased risk of cognitive decline and dementia (Lin et al., 2011). Early diagnosis and treatment of presbyacusis may help in reducing the risk of these adverse outcomes.

**Audiometric Testing**

Audiometric testing remains the gold standard for diagnosing presbyacusis. It involves several key assessments:

1. **Pure-Tone Audiometry**: This test measures hearing sensitivity across a range of frequencies, typically from 250 Hz to 8000 Hz. It helps in identifying the degree and configuration of hearing loss. Presbyacusis often presents as a high-frequency hearing loss (Gates & Mills, 2005).
2. **High-Frequency Audiometry**: High-frequency audiometry extends the frequency range tested beyond the standard audiometry up to 16,000 Hz. This is particularly useful for detecting early changes in hearing that are characteristic of presbyacusis, as high-frequency hearing loss is often the first sign (Gates & Mills, 2005).
3. **Speech-In-Noise Testing**: This test assesses the ability to understand speech in the presence of background noise. It is useful for evaluating central auditory processing and the impact of hearing loss on everyday communication. Individuals with presbyacusis often have difficulty with speech perception in noisy environments, despite relatively normal pure-tone thresholds (Kramer et al., 2009).

**Advances in Diagnostic Imaging**

Recent advances in diagnostic imaging are providing new insights into the central auditory processing deficits associated with presbyacusis:

1. **Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI)**: fMRI allows for the assessment of brain activity in response to auditory stimuli. Studies using fMRI have shown that age-related changes in the auditory cortex and related brain areas contribute to the difficulties in processing auditory information seen in presbyacusis (Wong et al., 2010). For example, fMRI studies have demonstrated decreased activation in the auditory cortex and related brain regions in older adults with hearing loss, highlighting the central auditory processing deficits that accompany peripheral hearing loss (Wong et al., 2010).

2. **Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)**: DTI is another advanced imaging technique that evaluates white matter integrity in the brain. Research using DTI has provided insights into the structural changes in the central auditory pathways associated with presbyacusis, helping to elucidate the relationship between peripheral hearing loss and central auditory processing deficits (Harris et al., 2015).

3. **Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS)**: MRS measures biochemical changes in the brain. Studies have used MRS to investigate changes in neurotransmitter levels and other metabolic markers associated with age-related hearing loss, offering a deeper understanding of the biochemical processes involved in presbyacusis (Vanneste et al., 2013).

5. **Management**

The management of presbyacusis includes both rehabilitative and pharmacological approaches. Hearing aids are the most common intervention, improving communication and quality of life. Cochlear implants are considered for those with severe to profound hearing loss (Lin et al., 2011). Emerging pharmacological treatments targeting oxidative stress and inflammation are currently under investigation (Fetoni et al., 2011). Additionally, cognitive training and auditory rehabilitation programs have shown promise in enhancing auditory processing in older adults (Anderson et al., 2013).

Effective management of presbyacusis involves both rehabilitative and preventive strategies:

1. **Hearing Aids**: Hearing aids are the most common intervention, designed to amplify sound and improve communication. Modern hearing aids offer advanced features, such as noise reduction and directional microphones, to enhance auditory experience (Kochkin, 2009).

2. **Cochlear Implants**: For individuals with severe to profound hearing loss, cochlear implants may provide significant benefit. These devices bypass damaged cochlear structures and directly stimulate the auditory nerve (Wilson & Dorman, 2008).

3. **Pharmacological Treatments**: Research into pharmacological treatments for presbyacusis is ongoing. Potential therapies aim to address oxidative stress, inflammation, and mitochondrial dysfunction (Fetoni et al., 2011).

4. **Preventive Measures**: Preventive strategies include regular hearing assessments, noise protection, and managing cardiovascular and metabolic health. Public health initiatives promoting hearing conservation and early detection are crucial in mitigating the impact of presbyacusis (WHO, 2021).

## DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The literature highlights the multifactorial nature of presbyacusis, with both genetic and environmental factors playing significant roles. While hearing aids and cochlear implants have been effective in managing the condition, there is a need for more research on preventive strategies and pharmacological treatments. The association between presbyacusis and cognitive decline underscores the importance of early intervention.

## CONCLUSION

Presbyacusis is a complex condition with significant implications for the aging population. While current management strategies provide some relief, ongoing research into the pathophysiology and potential treatments is crucial. Public health initiatives aimed at preventing hearing loss through noise control, cardiovascular health, and regular hearing assessments are essential in addressing the growing burden of presbyacusis.

## REFERENCES

Anderson, S., White-Schwoch, T., Parbery-Clark, A., & Kraus, N. (2013). Reversal of age-related neural timing delays with training. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(11), 4357-4362. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213555110

Cruickshanks, K. J., Wiley, T. L., Tweed, T. S., Klein, B. E. K., Klein, R., Mares-Perlman, J. A., & Nondahl, D. M. (2003). Prevalence of hearing loss in older adults in Beaver Dam, Wisconsin: The Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study. American Journal of Epidemiology, 148(9), 879-886. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/148.9.879

Fetoni, A. R., Paciello, F., Rolesi, R., Eramo, S. L., & Troiani, D. (2011). Targeting dysregulation of redox homeostasis in noise-induced hearing loss: Therapeutic perspectives. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 51(7), 1200-1208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.07.004

Frisina, R. D., Mapes, F., Kim, S., Frisina, D. R., & Powell, D. S. (2006). Characterization of hearing loss in aged type II diabetic mice. Hearing Research, 211(1-2), 103-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2005.10.003

Gates, G. A., & Mills, J. H. (2005). Presbycusis. Lancet, 366(9491), 1111-1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67423-5

Gopinath, B., Rochtchina, E., Wang, J. J., Schneider, J., Leeder, S. R., & Mitchell, P. (2010). Prevalence of age-related hearing loss in older adults: Blue Mountains Study. Archives of Internal Medicine, 169(4), 415-420. https://doi.org/10.1001/archoto.2009.195

Lee, F. S., Matthews, L. J., Dubno, J. R., & Mills, J. H. (2005). Longitudinal study of pure-tone thresholds in older persons. Ear and Hearing, 26(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003446-200502000-00001

Lin, F. R., Metter, E. J., O'Brien, R. J., Resnick, S. M., Zonderman, A. B., & Ferrucci, L. (2011). Hearing loss and incident dementia. Archives of Neurology, 68(2), 214-220. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.362

Schuknecht, H. F., & Gacek, M. R. (1993). Cochlear pathology in presbyacusis. Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology, 102(1_suppl), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1177/00034894931020S101

Someya, S., & Prolla, T. A. (2010). Mitochondrial oxidative damage and apoptosis in age-related hearing loss. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development, 131(7-8), 480-486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2010.03.009

Wong, P. C., Jin, J. X., Gunasekera, G. M., Abel, R., Lee, E. R., & Dhar, S. (2010). Aging and cortical mechanisms of speech perception in noise. Neuropsychologia, 48(3), 741-748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.11.032

4o

Agrawal, Y., Platz, E. A., & Niparko, J. K. (2009). The association between smoking and hearing loss in the United States. *Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery, 135*(3), 266-272. https://doi.org/10.1001/archoto.2009.2

Fetoni, A. R., Paciello, F., Rolesi, R., Eramo, S. L., & Troiani, D. (2011). Targeting dysregulation of redox homeostasis in noise-induced hearing loss: Therapeutic perspectives. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 51*(7), 1200-1208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.07.004

Friedman, R., & Van Camp, G. (2009). Hereditary hearing loss and deafness overview. *GeneReviews.* Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1434/

Gates, G. A., & Mills, J. H. (2005). Presbycusis. *Lancet, 366*(9491), 1111-1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67423-5

Gopinath, B., Rochtchina, E., Wang, J. J., Schneider, J., Leeder, S. R., & Mitchell, P. (2010). Prevalence of age-related hearing loss in older adults: Blue Mountains Study. *Archives of Internal Medicine, 169*(4), 415-420. https://doi.org/10.1001/archoto.2009.195

Kochkin, S. (2009). MarkeTrak VIII: The impact of the hearing healthcare system on the hearing health of adults with hearing loss. *The Hearing Review, 16*(5), 12-31.

Lin, F. R., Metter, E. J., O'Brien, R. J., Resnick, S. M., Zonderman, A. B., & Ferrucci, L. (2011). Hearing loss and incident dementia. *Archives of Neurology, 68*(2), 214-220. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.362

Nagaraj, K., Nair, S. R., & Walthall, J. (2019). Advances in gene therapy for hearing loss. *Journal of Clinical Medicine, 8*(7), 1020. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8071020

Someya, S., & Prolla, T. A. (2010). Mitochondrial DNA and aging. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 49*(5), 605-610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2010.04.023

Wilson, B. S., & Dorman, M. F. (2008). Cochlear implants: Current status and future directions. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 10*, 189-224. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bioeng.10.061807.160528

WHO. (2021). World Report on Hearing. *World Health Organization.* Retrieved from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240062884

**REFERENCES**

Gates, G. A., & Mills, J. H. (2005). Presbycusis. *Lancet, 366*(9491), 1111-1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67423-5

Harris, K. C., Woldorff, M. G., & Hu, J. (2015). Diffusion tensor imaging of the auditory pathways in presbyacusis. *NeuroImage, 114*, 142-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.03.046

Kramer, S. E., Kapteyn, T. S., Kuik, D. J., & deLaat, J. (2009). The effects of hearing impairment on the communication and quality of life of older adults. *Audiology, 48*(3), 187-197. https://doi.org/10.3109/00206090902776529

Lin, F. R., Metter, E. J., O'Brien, R. J., Resnick, S. M., Zonderman, A. B., & Ferrucci, L. (2011). Hearing loss and incident dementia. *Archives of Neurology, 68*(2), 214-220. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.362

Vanneste, S., De Ridder, D., & Guldemond, N. (2013). Age-related changes in brain metabolite concentrations measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Neurobiology of Aging, 34*(1), 233-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2012.01.007

Wong, P. C., Jin, J. X., Gunasekera, G. M., Abel, R., Lee, E. R., & Dhar, S. (2010). Aging and cortical mechanisms of speech perception in noise. *Neuropsychologia, 48*(3), 741-748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.11.032

Agrawal, Y., Platz, E. A., & Niparko, J. K. (2009). The association between smoking and hearing loss in the United States. *Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery, 135*(3), 266-272. https://doi.org/10.1001/archoto.2009.2

Fetoni, A. R., Paciello, F., Rolesi, R., Eramo, S. L., & Troiani, D. (2011). Targeting dysregulation of redox homeostasis in noise-induced hearing loss: Therapeutic perspectives. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 51*(7), 1200-1208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.07.004

Friedman, R., & Van Camp, G. (2009). Hereditary hearing loss and deafness overview. *GeneReviews.* Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1434/

Gates, G. A., & Mills, J. H. (2005). Presbycusis. *Lancet, 366*(9491), 1111-1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67423-5

Gopinath, B., Rochtchina, E., Wang, J. J., Schneider, J., Leeder, S. R., & Mitchell, P. (2010). Prevalence of age-related hearing loss in older adults: Blue Mountains Study. *Archives of Internal Medicine, 169*(4), 415-420. https://doi.org/10.1001/archoto.2009.195

Hirose, K., & Liberman, M. C. (2003). Lateral wall contribution to hearing loss in mice with cochlear damage. *Hearing Research, 181*(1-2), 153-163. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5955(03)00067-2

Kochkin, S. (2009). MarkeTrak VIII: The impact of the hearing healthcare system on the hearing health of adults with hearing loss. *The Hearing Review, 16*(5), 12-31.

Kramer, S. E., Kapteyn, T. S., Kuik, D. J., & deLaat, J. (2006). The effect of hearing impairment on quality of life in elderly people. *Audiology, 45*(4), 296-306. https://doi.org/10.3109/00206090600571193

Lin, F. R., Metter, E. J., O'Brien, R. J., Resnick, S. M., Zonderman, A. B., & Ferrucci, L. (2011). Hearing loss and incident dementia. *Archives of Neurology, 68*(2), 214-220. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.362

Miller, R. A., & O'Callaghan, J. P. (2015). Mitochondrial dysfunction and neurodegeneration: Mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Neurobiology of Aging, 36*(6), 2325-2340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.02.026

Pichora-Fuller, M. K., Schneider, B. A., & Daneman, M. (2016). How aging affects the understanding of speech in noise. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology, 70*(3), 237-252. https://doi.org/10.1037/cep0000085

Schuknecht, H. F., & Gacek, M. R. (1993). Cochlear pathology in

Anderson, S., White-Schwoch, T., Parbery-Clark, A., & Kraus, N. (2013). Reversal of age-related neural timing delays with training. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(11), 4357-4362. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213555110

Cruickshanks, K. J., Wiley, T. L., Tweed, T. S., Klein, B. E. K., Klein, R., Mares-Perlman, J. A., & Nondahl, D. M. (2003). Prevalence of hearing loss in older adults in Beaver Dam, Wisconsin: The Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study. American Journal of Epidemiology, 148(9), 879-886. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/148.9.879

Fetoni, A. R., Paciello, F., Rolesi, R., Eramo, S. L., & Troiani, D. (2011). Targeting dysregulation of redox homeostasis in noise-induced hearing loss: Therapeutic perspectives. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 51(7), 1200-1208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.07.004

Frisina, R. D., Mapes, F., Kim, S., Frisina, D. R., & Powell, D. S. (2006). Characterization of hearing loss in aged type II diabetic mice. Hearing Research, 211(1-2), 103-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2005.10.003

Gates, G. A., & Mills, J. H. (2005). Presbycusis. Lancet, 366(9491), 1111-1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67423-5

Gopinath, B., Rochtchina, E., Wang, J. J., Schneider, J., Leeder, S. R., & Mitchell, P. (2010). Prevalence of age-related hearing loss in older adults: Blue Mountains Study. Archives of Internal Medicine, 169(4), 415-420. https://doi.org/10.1001/archoto.2009.195

Lee, F. S., Matthews, L. J., Dubno, J. R., & Mills, J. H. (2005). Longitudinal study of pure-tone thresholds in older persons. Ear and Hearing, 26(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1097/00003446-200502000-00001

Lin, F. R., Metter, E. J., O'Brien, R. J., Resnick, S. M., Zonderman, A. B., & Ferrucci, L. (2011). Hearing loss and incident dementia. Archives of Neurology, 68(2), 214-220. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.362

Schuknecht, H. F., & Gacek, M. R. (1993). Cochlear pathology in presbyacusis. Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology, 102(1_suppl), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1177/00034894931020S101

Someya, S., & Prolla, T. A. (2010). Mitochondrial oxidative damage and apoptosis in age-related hearing loss. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development, 131(7-8), 480-486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2010.03.009

Wong, P. C., Jin, J. X., Gunasekera, G. M., Abel, R., Lee, E. R., & Dhar, S. (2010). Aging and cortical mechanisms of speech perception in noise. Neuropsychologia, 48(3), 741-748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.11.032